

# References

As of 07/09/2017



G C F u j i I  
Radiopaque glass  
ionomer luting  
c e m e n t



**GC**



## GC Fuji I Radiopaque glass ionomer luting cement

1. Effect acidic and alkaline/heat treatments on the bond strength of different luting cements to commercially pure titanium. A.S. Fawzy, F.S. El-Askary. *Journal of dentistry* 37 (2009) 255 – 263
2. Clinical evaluation of four luting cements: a split-mouth study. L. Van Zeghbroeck and E. Boons. Abstract 2269 – IADR 2010, Barcelona, Spain
3. A clinical comparison of two cements for levels of post-operative sensitivity in a practice-based setting. T. Hilton, D. Hilton, R. Randall, J.L. Ferracane. *Operative Dentistry*, 2004, 29-3, 241-248
4. Confocal laser scanning microscopic observations of secondary caries inhibition around different types of luting cements. A. Umino, T. Nikaido, S. Tsuchiya, R.M. Foxton, J. Tagami. *American Journal of Dentistry*, Vol.18, No.4, August, 2005
5. Push-out bond strengths of six luting cements. F.C. Lorenzoni, J.R. Pereira, M.B. Ramos, G.C. Costa, D. Afonso, J.S. Ghizoni, A. Lins Do Valle and G. Bonfante. Abstract 512 - IADR 2011, San Diego, USA
6. An in vitro radiographic analysis of the density of dental luting cements as measured by CCD-based digital radiography. D. Antonijevic, D. Jevremovic, S. Jovanovic, K. Obradovic-Djuricic. *Quintessence International*, Volume 43 - Number 5 –May 2012
7. Luting AgentPost on area defects and surface contact to dentin. K. Mora, R.S.R.S. Ramnarine, A.D.V. Cordova II, N. Orellana, R. Ramirez, M. Briceno, H. Andrade S.R.. Abstract 2324 – IADR Brazil 2012
8. Bacterial Adhesion to Various Dental Luting Cements. M. Kamaci, A. Eldeniz, S. Akman. Abstract 644 – IADR Finland 2012
9. Influence of the defect area and the tensile strenght. K.D. Mora, A. Hidalgo, A. Canache, F. Garzeddine, M. Briceno, P.D. Bolivar, R. Crespo. Abstract 3546 – IADR Seattle 2013.
10. Effect of luting cement and thermomechanical loading on retention of glass fibre posts in root canals. X.-j. Li, S.-jun Zhao, Li-na Niu, F.R. Tay, K. Jiao, Y. Gao, Ji-hua Chen. *Journal of Dentistry* xxx(2013) xxx–xxx. © 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd
11. Capsulated versus hand-mixed glass-ionomer luting cements for post retention. C. A. Mitchell, J. F. Orr and M. D. Russell. *Journal of Dentistry*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 47-51, 1998
12. Fracture toughness of conventional, resin-modified glass–ionomer and composite luting cements. C.A. Mitchell, W.H. Douglas, Y.-S. Cheng. *Dental Materials* 15 (1999) 7–13
13. Genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of different types of dental cement on normal cultured human lymphocytes. A. Bakopoulou, D. Mourelatosb, A.S. Tsiftoglou, N.P. Giassin, E. Mioglou, P. Garefis. *Mutation Research* 672 (2009) 103–112



## Articles in Dental magazines

1. Preuve préliminaire de la fermeture de marges artificielle pas les ciments bioactifs. S.R. Jefferies, A.E. Fullier & O.W. Boston. November 2016. Dentoscope, nr. 168, pp. 49-51.
- 2.